

# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

### **Requestor Name and Address**

PINE CREEK MEDICAL CENTER 9032 HARRY HINES BLVD DALLAS TX 75235-1720

**Respondent Name** 

Texas Mutual Insurance Co

**MFDR Tracking Number** 

M4-10-2262-01

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 54

MFDR Date Received

December 21, 2009

### REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Requestor's Position Summary</u>: "Since Texas Mutual the insurance carrier failed to properly Inform Pine Creek Medical Center of utilizing the contract. This claim should be defaulted to the Fee Schedule rate/APC Rate."

Amount in Dispute: \$3,947.95

### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The requestor states it was not adequately informed regarding a contractual agreement in terms of an informal/voluntary network through FOCUS/AETNA WORKERS; COMPLAINANT ACCESS. But, nowhere in its DWC-60 packet does it substantiate its assertion. Because it is the requestor who is bringing forth this issue in dispute, it has the burden to demonstrate in what manner DWC Rule 133.4 was violated. Absent such demonstration Texas Mutual believes the payment it made was consistent with FOCUS/AETNA contract and of Rule 133.4."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Co

### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
September 25, 2009	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$3,947.95	\$3,947.95

### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

#### Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, titled Hospital Facility Fee Guideline Outpatient, sets out the

- reimbursement guidelines for facility services provided in an outpatient acute care hospital.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.4 provides for written notification to health care providers of contractual agreements for informal and voluntary networks
- 4. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

### Explanation of benefits dated November 5, 2009

- AC-W1 WORKERS COMPENSATION STATE FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT
- AC-45 CHARGE EXCEEDS FEE SCHEDULE/MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE OR CONTRACTED/LEGISLATED FEE ARRANGEMENT. (USE GROUP CODES PR OR CO DEPENDING UPON LIABILITY)
- AC-97 THE BENEFIT FOR THIS SERVICE IS INCLUDED IN THE PAYMENT/ALLOWANCE FOR ANOTHER SERVICE/PROCEDURE THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN ADJUDICATED
- 370 THIS HOSPITAL OUTPATIENT ALLOWANCE WAS CALCULATED ACCORDING TO THE APC RATE, PLUS A MARKUP.
- 616 THE CODE HAS A STATUS Q APC INDICATOR AND IS PACKAGED INTO OTHER APC CODES THAT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED BY CMS.
- 618 THE VALUE OF THIS PROCEDURE IS INCLUDED IN THE VALUE OF ANOTHER PROCEDURE PERFORMED ON THIS DATE.
- 793 REDUCTION DUE TO PPO CONTRACT. PPO CONTRACT WAS APPLIED BY FOCUS/AETNA WORKERS COMP ACCESS LLC. FOR PROVIDER SUPPORT 1-800-243-2336.

### Explanation of benefits dated December 10, 2009

- AC-W1 WORKERS COMPENSATION STATE FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT
- AC-W4 NO ADDITIONAL REIMBURSEMENT ALLOWED AFTER REVIEW OF APPEAL/RECONSIDERATION
- AC-16 CLAIM/SERVICE LACKS INFORMATION WHICH IS NEEDED FOR ADJUDICATION. AT LEAST ONE REMARK CODE MUST BE PROVIDED (MAY BE COMPRISED OF EITHER THE REMITTANCE ADVICE REMARK CODE OR NCPDP REJECT REASON CODE.)
- AC-45 CHARGE EXCEEDS FEE SCHEDULE/MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE OR CONTRACTED/LEGISLATED FEE ARRANGEMENT. (USE GROUOP CODES PR OR CO DEPENDING UPON LIABILITY)
- AC-59 PROCESSED BASED ON MULTIPLE OR CONCURRENT PROCEDURE RULES. (FOR EXAMPLE MULTIPLE SURGERY OR DIAGNOSTIC IMAGING, CONCURRENT ANESTHESIA).
- AC-97 THE BENEFIT FOR THIS SERVICE IS INCLUDED IN THE PAYMENT/ALLOWANCE FOR ANOTHER SERVICE/PROCEDURE THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN ADJUDICATED
- 225 THE SUBMITTED DOCUMENTATION DOES NOT SUPPORT THE SERVICE BEING BILLED. WE
  WILL RE-EVALUATE THIS UPON RECEIPT OF CLARIFYING INFORMATION.
- 329 ALLOWANCE FOR THIS SERVICE REPRESENTS 50% BECAUSE OF MULTIPLE OR BILATERAL RULES
- 370 THIS HOSPITAL OUTPATIENT ALLOWANCE WAS CALCULATED ACCORDING TO THE APC RATE, PLUS A MARKUP.
- 420 SUPPLEMENTAL PAYMENT
- 616 THE CODE HAS A STATUS Q APC INDICATOR AND IS PACKAGED INTO OTHER APC CODES THAT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED BY CMS.
- 618 THE VALUE OF THIS PROCEDURE IS INCLUDED IN THE VALUE OF ANOTHER PROCEDURE PERFORMED ON THIS DATE.
- 793 REDUCTION DUE TO PPO CONTRACT. PPO CONTRACT WAS APPLIED BY FOCUS/AETNA WORKERS COMP ACCESS LLC. FOR PROVIDER SUPPORT 1-800-243-2336.

#### <u>Issues</u>

- 1. Are the disputed services subject to a contractual agreement between the parties to this dispute?
- 2. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
- 3. What is the recommended payment amount for the services in dispute?
- 4. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

# <u>Findings</u>

1. The insurance carrier reduced or denied disputed services with reason code "AC-45, CHARGE EXCEEDS FEE SCHEDULE/MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE OR CONTRACTED/LEGISLATED FEE ARRANGEMENT. (USE GROUP CODES PR OR CO DEPENDING UPON LIABILITY) and 793, REDUCTION DUE TO PPO CONTRACT. PPO CONTRACT WAS APPLIED BY FOCUS/AETNA WORKERS COMP ACCESS LLC. FOR PROVIDER SUPPORT 1-800-243-2336." Review of the submitted information found insufficient

documentation to support that the disputed services were subject to a contractual fee arrangement between the parties to this dispute. Nevertheless, on September 21, 2011, the Division requested the respondent to provide a copy of the referenced contract as well as documentation to support notification to the healthcare provider, as required by 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.4, that the insurance carrier had been given access to the contracted fee arrangement. Review of the submitted information finds that the documentation does not support notification to the healthcare provider in the time and manner required. The Division concludes that, pursuant to §133.4(g), the insurance carrier is not entitled to pay the health care provider at a contracted fee. Consequently, per §133.4(h), the disputed services will be reviewed for payment in accordance with applicable Division rules and fee guidelines.

- 2. This dispute relates to facility services performed in an outpatient hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, which requires that the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register with the application of minimal modifications as set forth in the rule. Per §134.403(f)(1), the sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 200 percent, unless a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement of implantables. Review of the submitted documentation finds that separate reimbursement for implantables was not requested.
- 3. Under the Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS), each billed service is assigned an Ambulatory Payment Classification (APC) based on the procedure code used, the supporting documentation and the other services that appear on the bill. A payment rate is established for each APC. Depending on the services provided, hospitals may be paid for more than one APC per encounter. Payment for ancillary and supportive items and services, including services that are billed without procedure codes, is packaged into payment for the primary service. A full list of APCs is published quarterly in the OPPS final rules which are publicly available through the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) website. Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated as follows:
  - The requestor billed procedure code 63660 with 4 units. This procedure is defined as a revision or removal of spinal neurostimulator electrode percutaneous array(s) or plate/paddle(s). Review of the itemized statement indicates that the requestor billed for "PAIN PROC LVL 3 1ST HR" and "PAIN PROC LVL 3 EA ADD 15 MIN" 4 units. Procedure code 63660 is not a timed procedure. Review of the operative report supports only one unit of this procedure; therefore, only one unit is recommended for reimbursement. Procedure code 63660, date of service September 25, 2009, has a status indicator of T, which denotes a significant procedure subject to multiple-procedure discounting. The highest paying status T procedure is paid at 100%; all others are paid at 50%. This procedure is paid at 50%. These services are classified under APC 0687, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$1,297.25. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$778.35. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.9816 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$764.03. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$518.90. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$1,282.93. The cost of these services does not exceed the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$1,800. The outlier payment amount is \$0. The total APC payment for this line, including multiple-procedure discount, is \$641.47. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$1,282.94.
  - Procedure code 63688, date of service September 25, 2009, has a status indicator of T, which denotes a significant procedure subject to multiple-procedure discounting. The highest paying status T procedure is paid at 100%; all others are paid at 50%. This procedure is paid at 100%. These services are classified under APC 0688, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$1,951.81. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$1,171.09. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.9816 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$1,149.54. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$780.72. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$1,930.26. Per 42 Code of Federal Regulations §419.43(d) and Medicare Claims Processing Manual, CMS Publication 100-04, Chapter 4, §10.7.1, if the total cost for a service exceeds 1.75 times the OPPS payment and also exceeds the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$1,800, the outlier payment is 50% of the amount by which the cost exceeds 1.75 times the OPPS payment. Per the OPPS Facility-Specific Impacts file, CMS lists the cost-to-charge ratio for this provider as 0.24. This ratio multiplied by the billed charge of \$5,100.00 yields a cost of \$1,224.00. The total cost of all packaged items is allocated proportionately across all separately paid OPPS services based on the percentage of the total APC payment. The APC payment for these services of \$1,930.26 divided by the sum of all APC payments is 75.06%. The sum of all packaged costs is \$1,697.83. The allocated portion of packaged costs is \$1,274.34. This amount added to the service cost yields a total cost of \$2,498.34. The cost of these services exceeds the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$1,800. The amount by which the cost exceeds 1.75 times the OPPS payment is \$0.00. The total APC

payment for this line is \$1,930.26. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$3,860.52.

- Procedure code 76000, date of service September 25, 2009, has a status indicator of Q1, which denotes STVX-packaged codes; payment for these services is packaged into the payment for any other procedures with status indicators S, T, V, or X that are billed for the same date of service. This code may be separately payable only if no other such procedures are billed for the same date. Review of the submitted information finds that OPPS criteria for separate payment have not been met. Payment for this service is included in the payment for procedure code 63688 billed on the same claim. The use of a modifier is not appropriate. Separate payment is not recommended.
- 4. The total allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute is \$5,143.46. The amount previously paid by the insurance carrier is \$1,195.07. The requestor is seeking additional reimbursement in the amount of \$3,947.95. This amount is recommended.

### **Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$3,947.95.

#### **ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$3,947.95, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

### **Authorized Signature**

		March 13, 2013	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	<u></u>	

# YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.